Determining Compensation of Implanted Aluminum Dopants by Simultaneous Fitting of Charge Carrier Concentration and Mobility

# Julian Kauth<sup>1)</sup>, Norman Boettcher<sup>1)</sup>, Mathias Rommel<sup>1)</sup>

julian.kauth@iisb.fraunhofer.de

1) Fraunhofer IISB, Schottkystr. 10, 91058 Erlangen, Germany



## **Sample Preparation and** Characterization

- Square van der Pauw test structures
- In n-type epitaxial layers with a nitrogen concentration of 1.10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Implanted aluminum concentrations from 1.10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> to 5.10<sup>19</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Annealed at 1700°C or 1850°C for 30 min
- Graphs show target implantation profile and Hall measurement results
- Empty symbols  $\rightarrow$  impurity band conduction  $\rightarrow$  excluded from fit





1000/*T* in K<sup>-1</sup>

### **Common Data Analysis**

Commonly the neutrality equation is fit to the charge carrier concentration data [3]:

$$p + N_{\text{Comp}} = n + N_{\text{Acc},1} + N_{\text{Acc},2}$$
$$N_{\text{Acc}}^{-} = \frac{N_{\text{Acc}}}{1 + 4 \cdot \exp(\frac{E_{\text{Acc}} - E_{\text{F}}}{k_{\text{B}}T})}$$

- Resulting parameters: ionization energies, acceptor and compensation concentrations
- Optional: total acceptor concentration limited to nominal implanted concentration



### **Fitting Without Limits**

Fits to measurement results of highly doped samples ( $N_{\text{Implanted}} > 1 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) show only small changes when removing the limit

But when fitting data from lowly doped samples ( $N_{\text{Implanted}} < 1 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) without limit:

- $N_{Acc,1}$  and  $N_{Comp}$  reach unreasonably high values
- $N_{Acc,1}$  and  $N_{Comp}$  are linked together a fit is equally as good with low  $N_{Acc,1}$  and low  $N_{\rm Comp}$  as it is with high  $N_{\rm Acc,1}$  and high  $N_{\rm Comp}$
- Due to the position of the Fermi level,  $N_{Acc,1}^{-}$  depends only weakly on temperature, just like the concentration of ionized compensating defects.
- $\rightarrow$  High degrees of freedom  $\rightarrow$  poorly defined fit  $\rightarrow$  results may be unphysical



### **Analysis Incorporating the Mobility**

In addition to the charge carrier concentration the mobility can also be used in the fit [2]. The mobility is calculated by accounting for different scattering mechanisms [1,4]:

$$\mu_{\text{drift}} = \frac{e\langle \tau \rangle}{m_{\text{h}}} \qquad \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{ii}}(N_{\text{Acc/Comp}})} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{ni}}(N_{\text{Acc/Comp}})} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{po}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{nop}}(D_{\text{nop}})} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{ac}}(D_{\text{ac}})}$$

 $N_{Acc,1}$  and  $N_{Comp}$  show a different





1000/*T* in K<sup>-1</sup>

dependence when looking at the fit to  $\mu_{drift}$  vs the fit to p and thus are naturally more restricted

Abbreviations are for scattering due to:

- $\tau_{ii}$ : ionized impurities
- $\tau_{ni}$ : neutral impurities
- $\tau_{po}$ : polar optical phonons
- $\tau_{nop}$ : non-polar optical phonons
- $\tau_{ac}$ : acoustic phonon
- **1** A. Parisini and R. Nipoti, J. Appl. Phys. 114, 243703 (2013), doi: 10.1063/1.4852515
- **2** R. Nipoti et al., Mater. Sci. Forum Vol. 1062, pp241-245 (2022) doi: 10.4028/p-n0f23q
- **3** H. Matsuura et al., J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 96, 5 (2004), doi: 10.1063/1.1775298
- 4 J. Pernot, S. Contreras and J. Camassel, J. Appl. Phys. 98, 023706 (2005), doi: 10.1063/1.1978987
- **5** H. Tanaka, et al., J. Appl. Phys. 123, 245704 (2018), doi: 10.1063/1.5025776

#### Conclusion:

- Fitting Hall measurement results of lowly Al-doped 4H-SiC with two acceptors is difficult, as the problem is ill-defined  $\bullet$
- Simultaneously fitting p and  $\mu_{drift}$ , a clear trend of the compensation concentration with implanted Al-concentration ightarrowand annealing temperature emerges